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• Why do we go to the energy frontier?
• What is the CMS collaboration?
• What is the Standard Model? How do we detect the fundamental particles contained in the SM?
• The Higgs boson is the missing object in the SM “periodic table”. What is the CMS strategy to discover it?
• What might we find at CMS in addition to the Higgs?
• How is US CMS preparing for the Physics?
What and Where is CERN, LHC, CMS?

European Center for Nuclear Research (CERN)

Large Hadron Collider (LHC)

Compact Muon Solenoid (CMS)
Progress in HEP Depends on Advancing the Energy Frontier

Why?

E=mc^2

Go back in time to the Big Bang, look at hidden symmetries made manifest at high energies.
CMS Detector Subsystems

Basic decision was to have a large (4T) magnetic field.
Energy of 3 GJ, 1 MW for 1 hr.
CMS Coil is Cryogenic
The CMS Collaboration

CMS Collaboration

31 Countries
146 Institutes
1801 Physicists and Engineers

The US is the largest national group in CMS - about 1/3.
The US CMS Collaboration

Expected to grow to more than 500 physicists by the start of data taking in late 2007.
- Matter consists of half integral spin fermions. The strongly interacting fermions are called quarks. The fermions with electroweak interactions are called leptons. The uncharged leptons are called neutrinos.
- The forces are carried by integral spin bosons. The strong force is carried by 8 gluons (g), the electromagnetic force by the photon (γ), and the weak interaction by the $W^+, Z^0$ and $W^-$. The g and γ are massless, while the W and Z have ~ 80, 91 GeV mass.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>J = 1</th>
<th>$g, \gamma, W^+, Z^0, W^-$</th>
<th>Force Carriers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>u</td>
<td>2/3</td>
<td>Quarks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>-1/3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>J = 1/2</th>
<th>$e, \mu, \tau$</th>
<th>Q/e=</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>e</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nu_e$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nu_\mu$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nu_\tau$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>J= 0</th>
<th>H</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

US CMS Colloquium, U. of Virginia
• In the 1980’s we found that weak interactions only appear to be weak at low energies.

\[ \frac{\Gamma(\pi^o \to \gamma + \gamma)}{\Gamma(\pi^+ \to \mu^+ + \nu_\mu)} \sim 3 \times 10^8 \]

• At energies above the W and Z mass the electromagnetic and weak coupling constants are \sim equal and the forces are unified.

\[ g^2 / M^2, \quad g_w^2 e^{-r/\lambda} / r \]

\[ e = g_w \sin \theta_w \]
## Detection of Fundamental Particles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particle type</th>
<th>Tracking</th>
<th>ECAL</th>
<th>HCAL</th>
<th>Muon</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$e$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_t$ miss</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EM force**
- (Bremm + pair)
- leptons (ionize)
- q, g
- $\nu$
The scattering of quarks inside the proton leads to a "jet" of particles traveling in the direction of, and taking the momentum of, the parent quark. Since there is no initial state $P_t$, the 2 quarks in the final state are "back to back" in azimuth.

$$\eta = \ln[\tan(\theta/2)]$$
CDF and D0 successfully found the top quark, which has a cross section $\sim 10^{-10}$ the total cross section.

A 500 GeV Higgs has a cross section ratio of $\sim 10^{-11}$, which requires great rejection power against backgrounds and a high luminosity.

Rate = luminosity * cross section

LHC has $\sim 100$ times the luminosity of the Tevatron and 7 times the energy.
The Higgs is weakly coupled to ordinary matter (couples to mass), as square of u, d quark mass, with no direct coupling to gluons or photons. Thus, high interaction rates are required. The CMS pixel Si system has ~ 70 million elements so as to accommodate the resulting track densities while the tracker has ~ 10 million strips.
Pixel sensor wafer showing various sizes needed to form “panels”

Pixel readout chip: 4160 pixels
100 x 150 µ²

Wafer of pixel readout chips

Bump bonded detectors received from vendors
There are about 10 million Si strip detection elements
ECAL - PbWO4 Crystals

Fully active EM calorimetry. Depth of ~ 20 cm ~ 8" ➔ compact
Higgs couples to mass -> most strongly to W and Z. Next strongest is to heavy quarks such as t, b.

Higgs couples to mass -> most strongly to W and Z. Next strongest is to heavy quarks such as t, b.

Fully active crystals are the best resolution possible - needed for 2 photon decays of the Higgs and Z+Z-> 4 e decays.

$H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4$ electrons

CMS full GEANT simulation of $H(150 \text{ GeV}) \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4e$
US CMS - HCAL

Back-flange 18 Brackets 3 Layers of absorber
US CMS has celebrated (FY06) completing 97% of the Detector Project. Now exercising the detectors prior to final installation.
We are currently commissioning the US CMS detectors with cosmic rays both above in the Assembly Hall and soon below ground in the Collision Hall.
In late 2006 we put it all together, powered the magnet to full field and ran cosmic rays through the most parts of the CMS detector - tests above ground prior to lowering below ground.
Higgs Decay, $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4\mu$

$H(150\text{GeV}) \rightarrow Z^0Z^0 \rightarrow 4\mu$ (event 8)

Muons should be the cleanest signal at the LHC:

Momentum in tracker * momentum in CSC * match in $(\theta, \phi)$

Multiple redundant measurements for rare processes
The total interaction rate is ~ 1 GHz. Events are selected by a series of “trigger” cuts which reduce the rate to ~ 100 Hz. Find a needle in a haystack – 1 event out of every 10 million interactions that are lost forever.
Quantum mechanics: traces of higher mass states are seen in radiative corrections due to virtual quantum loops, e.g. Lamb shift in atomic spectrum due to virtual e pairs. Note sign - fermion, boson
The main final state is $ZZ \rightarrow 4l$. At high masses larger branching ratios are needed. At lower masses the $ZZ^*$, $qqWW^*$ and $\gamma\gamma$ final states are used. LEP II has set a limit $\sim 113$ GeV. CMS will cover the full range from LEPII to 1 TeV.

1/3 year at design luminosity - CMS designed to find the Higgs.
SUSY and Evolution of $\alpha$

It is impossible to maintain the big gap between the Higgs mass scale and the GUT mass scale in the presence of quantum radiative corrections (the “hierarchy problem”). One way to restore the gap is to postulate a relationship between fermions and bosons. Each SM particle has a supersymmetric (SUSY) partner with spin 1/2 difference. If the mass of the SUSY partners is $\sim 1$ TeV, then the GUT unification is good - at $10^{16}$ GeV. “Close” to $M_{Pl}$ - hint?

• The coupling constants "run" in quantum field theories due to vacuum fluctuations. For example, in EM the e charge is shielded by virtual $\gamma$ fluctuations into $e^+e^-$ pairs on a distance scale set by, $\lambda_e \sim 1/me$. Thus e increases as M increases (logarithmically), $\alpha(0) = 1/137$, $\alpha(MZ) = 1/128$. 

![Graph of Evolution of Coupling Constants in SUSY](image)
Assuming a conserved SUSY quantum number, the lightest SUSY particle (LSP) is stable. A neutral weakly interacting LSP escapes the detector. Dramatic event signatures (cascade to LSP) and large cross section mean we will discover SUSY quickly, if it exists.
The universe is flat and composed largely of dark energy (73%) and dark matter (22%). What are they? We understand only about 5% of the Universe by weight.
It may be a big hint that a SUSY LSP with a mass $O(\text{TeV})$ with a weak cross section (neutralino ?) decouples from the Big Bang expansion to give the correct relic density to be “dark matter”. The hope is then to produce and detect dark matter at the LHC. The memory of the Big Bang is stored in the vacuum waiting to be reignited at the LHC.
Cosmological Constants

- The dark energy is observed to be \( \sim 75\% \) of the closure density of the Universe.
- But we have measured the W and Z mass, so we "know" that there is a vacuum Higgs field,
  \[ < \phi > = 246 \text{ GeV}, M_W = g_W < \phi > \]
  - Landau-Ginzberg

- If so, there is a cosmological mass density \( \sim < \phi >^4 \)
  This is \( \sim 10^{52} \) larger than the observed dark energy density!
- What is going on? Is the Higgs field gravitationally inert? Try to study the Higgs mass and couplings (especially self couplings).
What do we do with the Data?

A worldwide computing grid is being set up to exploit computing resource around the Earth.
A Hierarchical Computing Model

T0 at CERN, T1 at Fermilab as US CMS national center,

T2 at UCSD, Caltech, UFlorida, Wisconsin, MIT, Nebraska and Purdue as regional US CMS centers. (+ Brazil + China)
The LHC Physics Center (LPC) at FNAL was created so the US CMS community can provide the maximum possible service to the CMS experiment. Our goal is to ensure that those physicists who must reside inside the United States can still contribute optimally to the many tasks required for the CMS experiments to produce physics and be full members of the CMS team. The components of the LPC are:

- a “brick and mortar” location for CMS physicists to find experts on all aspects of data analysis, particle ID, software, and event processing within the US, working during hours convenient for U.S.-based physicists
- a center of physics excellence within the US for LHC physics
- a place for workshops/conferences/gatherings on LHC physics
- a place for the training of graduate and postgraduate scientists.
- a center for the development of software and physics analysis in the US
- a “remote operations center” that CMS physicists can use to participate in data taking and quality control for the CMS experiment in the U.S.
- a tool to help provide a graceful transition between the Tevatron and LHC experiments for those physicists participating in both, maximizing the manpower available to each during the transition time.

The center is run by Avi Yagil (FNAL) and Sarah Eno (UMD) and is located on the 11th floor of the FNAL hi-rise. For more information, choose one of the links on the slide.

Time left until Nov. 1, 2007: 274 days 13h 14m 06s
Remote Operations of US CMS

The LHC is a discovery machine so we must be ready on “day one”. Practice data transfer and data quality monitoring and remote data analysis.
What will we find at the LHC?

• There is a single fundamental Higgs scalar field. This appears to be incomplete and unsatisfying.

• Another layer of the “cosmic onion” is uncovered. Quarks and/or leptons are composites of some new point like entity. This is historically plausible – atoms → nuclei → nucleons → quarks.

• There is a deep connection between Lorentz generators and spin generators. Each known SM particle has a “super partner” differing by ½ unit in spin. An extended set of Higgs particles exists and a whole new “SUSY” spectroscopy exists for us to explore.

• The weak interactions become strong. Resonances appear in WW and WZ scattering as in $\pi + \pi \rightarrow \rho$. A new force manifests itself, leading to a new spectroscopy.

• There are extra dimensions at the ~ 1 TeV mass scale, so there is no hierarchy problem. Gravity is weak because it exists in the complete space-time geometry, while SM forces are only in 4 - d.

• “There are more things in heaven and earth than are dreamt of”
One Year to First Beam

2007/8
THE YEAR WE MAKE CONTACT
A Few Unresolved Fundamental Questions in HEP

- How do the Z and W acquire mass and not the photon?
- What is $M_H$ and how do we measure it?
- Why are the known mass scales so different? $\Lambda_{\text{QCD}} \sim 0.2 \text{ GeV} \ll \text{EW } \langle \phi \rangle \sim 246 \text{ GeV} \ll M_{\text{GUT}} \sim 10^{16} \text{ GeV} \ll M_{\text{PL}} \sim 10^{19} \text{ GeV}$
- Why is charge quantized?
- Why is matter (protons) ~ stable?
- Why is the Universe made of matter?
- What is “dark matter” made of? Dark energy?
- Why is the cosmological constant small?
- How does gravity fit in with the strong, electromagnetic and weak forces? Are there extra dimensions in the Universe, as yet unobserved?
• Perhaps the strong and electroweak forces are related. In that case leptons and quarks would make transitions and p would be unstable. The unification mass scale of a GUT must be large enough so that the decay rate for p is < the rate limit set by experiment.

• The coupling constants "run" in quantum field theories due to vacuum fluctuations. For example, in EM the e charge is shielded by virtual γ fluctuations into e⁺e⁻ pairs on a distance scale set by, \( \lambda \sim 1/m_e \). Thus \( \alpha \) increases as M increases (logarithmically), \( \alpha(0) = 1/137, \alpha(M_Z) = 1/128 \).
Why is Matter (protons) ~ Stable?

- There is no gauge motivated conservation law making protons stable.
- Indeed, SU(5) relates quarks and leptons and possesses “leptoquarks” with masses ~ the GUT mass scale.
- Thus we expect protons (uud) to decay via uu → e⁺ + d, ud → dν. Thus p → e⁺π⁰ or νπ⁺.
- Looking at the GUT extrapolation, we find 1/α ~ 40 at a GUT mass of ~ 10^{14} GeV.
- One dimensional grounds, the proton lifetime should be, Γ_p = 1/τ_p ~ α_{GUT}²(M_p/M_{GUT})⁴M_p or τ_p ~ 4 x 10^{31} yr (propagator).
- The current experimental limit is 10^{32} yr. The limit is in disagreement with a careful estimate of the p decay lifetime in simple SU(5) GUT models. Thus we need to look a bit harder at the grand unification scheme.
Why is Charge Quantized?

• There appears to be approximate unification of the couplings at a mass scale $M_{\text{GUT}} \sim 10^{14}$ GeV.

• Then we combine quarks and leptons into GUT multiplets - the simplest possibility being SU(5).

$$[d_R \ d_B \ d_G \ e \ \nu] = 3(-1/3) + 1 + 0 = 0$$

• Since the sum of the projections of a group generator in a group multiplet is $= 0$ (e.g. the angular momentum sum of m), then charge must be quantized in units of the electron charge.

$$\sum_{-\ell}^{\ell} m_{\ell} = 0$$

• In addition, we see that quarks must have 1/3 fractional charge because there are 3 colors of quarks - SU(3).
GUT Predicts $\theta_W$

- A GUT has a single gauge coupling constant. Thus, $\alpha$ and $\alpha_w$ must be related. The SU(5) prediction is that $\sin(\theta_w) = e/g = \sqrt{3}/8$. Before we had to rely on measurements – no prediction.

- This prediction applies at $M_{\text{GUT}}$

- Running back down to the Z mass, the prediction becomes; $\sqrt{3}/8[1 - 109\alpha/18\pi(\ln(M_{\text{GUT}}/M_Z))]^{1/2}$

- This prediction is in ~ agreement with the measurement of $\theta_w$, but not exactly (SUSY).
Why is the Universe Made of Matter?

- The present state of the Universe is very matter-antimatter asymmetric.

- The necessary conditions for such an asymmetry are that CP is violated, that Baryon number is not conserved, and that the Universe went through a phase out of thermal equilibrium.

- The existence of 3 generations allows for CP violation and it has been observed in decays of K and B mesons.

- The GUT has, of necessity, baryon non-conserving reactions due to lepto-quarks.

- Thus the possibility to explain the asymmetry exists in GUTs, although agreement with the data, $N_B/N_\gamma \sim 10^{-9}$, and calculation may not be plausible.
“Particle Physics” in the 20th Century

• The e⁻ was discovered by Thompson ~ 1900. The nucleus was discovered by Rutherford in ~ 1920. The e⁺, the first antiparticle, was found in ~ 1930. The µ, indicating a second “generation”, was discovered in ~ 1936.

• There was an explosion of baryons and mesons discovered in the 1950s and 1960s. They were classified in a "periodic table" using the SU(3) symmetry group, whose physical realization was point like, strongly interacting, fractionally charged "quarks". Direct evidence for quarks and gluons came in the early 1970s.

• The exposition of the 3 generations of quarks and leptons is only just, 1996, completed with the top quark discovery and the observation of the neutrino associated with the tau lepton in 2002 at Fermilab. In the mid 1980s the unification of the weak and electromagnetic force was confirmed by the W and Z discoveries at CERN.

• The LHC, starting in 2007, will be THE tool to explore the origin of the breaking of the electroweak symmetry (Higgs field?) and the origin of mass itself. The Higgs boson is postulated to be responsible for the mass of all the known elementary particles.
HCAL detects jets from quarks and gluons. Neutrinos are inferred from missing Et.

Scintillator + WLS gives “hermetic” readout for neutrinos. Cool the light, blue -> green and thus shrink area ratio by a factor $e^{\hbar \Delta \omega / kT}$.
In quantum field theories the constants are altered in higher order processes (e.g. loops). Asking that the Higgs interactions be well behaved up to a high mass scale (no new Physics) implies a low mass Higgs. Is a high scale plausible? (GUTs?)
A completely naïve classical extrapolation of gravity comes close to the GUT mass scale. Is that a hint? Note that $\alpha_G \sim M^2$

We expect fundamental issues with quantum gravity as it defines the geometry of space-time. It appears that point particles are not possible, but rather strings existing in many dimensions, with those $>4$ curled up or “compactified” to dimensions $\sim 1/M$. Gravity is very, very weak – a small magnet can overcome the whole Earth and pick up a nail. Is that because gravity spreads out thinly into additional dimensions?
Is there a quark-gluon plasma?

The LHC and CMS offer a ~35 fold increase in C.M. energy for heavy ion collisions w.r.t. RHIC. Will that allow the formation of a true plasma?
Particle ID

Key:
- Muon
- Electron
- Hadron (e.g. Pion)
- Photon

Transverse slice through CMS

Iron return yoke interspersed with Muon chambers
UX – The “-z” Side
Webcam “Movies”

http://cmsinfo.cern.ch/outreach/cmseye/yb0_lowering.htm

http://cmsinfo.cern.ch/outreach/cmseye/y e1_lowering.htm
UX – April 9, 2007
Remote Operations
Dijet, lepton (W) and dilepton (Z) and tau (Z decay) and top pairs (jets+MET+lepton + b tags) will commission CMS for Physics with a few pb$^{-1}$. 

Figure 11: Reconstructed W and top masses, without b-jet tagging, for 30 pb$^{-1}$ of data. The background corresponds to W + 4 jet events.
Early Physics Reach

- Needed $J L dt (fb^{-1})$ per experiment

- $1 fb^{-1}$ for 98% CL exclusion
- $\leq 5 fb^{-1}$ for 5$\sigma$ discovery over full allowed mass range

ATLAS + CMS preliminary
Getting to the Terascale

Graph 1: 
- M (TeV) vs. Luminosity/expt (fb⁻¹)
- ATLAS + CMS
- 100 pb⁻¹

Graph 2: 
- 5σ
- Z' mass (TeV) vs. Int. luminosity (fb⁻¹)